

1 MARGARET A. MCLEITCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931
 2 N. PIETER M. O'LEARY, Nevada Bar No. 15297
 3 LEO S. WOLPERT, Nevada Bar No. 12658
4 MCLEITCHIE LAW
 5 602 South Tenth Street
 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 7 Telephone: (702) 728-5300; Fax: (702) 425-8220
 8 Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com
9 Attorney for Plaintiffs

10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

13 MICHAEL ROGERS, an individual;
 14 NIKITA WRIGHT, an individual,

15 Plaintiffs,
 16 vs.

17 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
 18 DEPARTMENT; JOSEPH LOMBARDO, in
 19 his individual capacity; ALFREDO
 20 QUINTERO, individually; PRAVEEN RAJ,
 21 individually; DOE OFFICERS I - IV,
 22 individually,

23 Defendants.

24 Case. No.: 2:22-cv-00867-CDS-DJA

25
STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT
(SECOND REQUEST)

26 The Parties, Michael Rogers and Nikita Wright ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their
 27 counsel of record, and Defendants, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
 28 ("LVMPD"), Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Alfredo Quintero, and Praveen Raj, (collectively,
 "LVMPD Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel (collectively, "the Parties"),
 hereby agree and jointly stipulate to the following:

1. The Parties agree that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a Reply in Support
 of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint shall be rescheduled
 from **April 21, 2023**, to **May 5, 2023**.

2. Plaintiffs filed the Stipulation and Order to Extend Plaintiffs' Reply in
 Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint (first request) on April 21,
 2023. (See ECF No. 30.)

1 3. On April 25, 2023, the Court denied the Parties' Stipulation and Order to
2 Extend Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint
3 without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Rule IA 6-1(a) because the Stipulation
4 and Order did not provide a reason for the requested extension. (See ECF No. 32.)

5 4. This second request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper
6 purpose or to delay. The Parties are litigating several matters together, including this matter,
7 and recently stipulated to extend the deadline for Defendants to file their Opposition to
8 Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint. (See ECF No. 28.)
9 Plaintiffs' counsel has also had extensive family obligations requiring counsel to assist a
10 family member with treatment for serious medical conditions. As such, the Parties agree that
11 due to scheduling conflicts limiting Plaintiffs' counsel's ability to timely and adequately
12 reply in support of their Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, the deadline
13 for Plaintiffs to file their Reply shall be extended from April 21, 2023, to May 5, 2023.

14 5. This is the second request for an extension of this deadline.

15 6. The Parties both submit that the instant stipulation is being offered in good
16 faith and not for the purpose of delay.

17
18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19
20 Dated this 26th day of April, 2023.

21 MCLETCHE LAW

22 By: /s/ N. Pieter M. O'Leary
23 Margaret A. McLetchie, Esq.
24 Nevada Bar No. 10931
25 Leo S. Wolpert, Esq.
26 Nevada Bar No. 12658
27 Pieter M. O'Leary, Esq.
28 Nevada Bar No. 15297
 602 South 10th Street
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

 Dated this 26th day of April, 2023.

 MARQUIS AURBACH

 By: /s/ Jackie V. Nichols
 Jackie V. Nichols, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 14246
 10001 Park Run Drive
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
 Attorneys for Defendants

1 IT IS SO ORDERED that the parties Stipulation (ECF No. 34) is GRANTED.
2 Plaintiff's shall file their reply by May 5, 2023.

3 
4 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 DATED: April 27, 2023
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28